The Role of Context for Object Detection and Semantic Segmentation in the Wild

Roozbeh Mottaghi¹ Xianjie Chen² Xiaobai Liu² Nam-Gyu Cho³ Seong-Whan Lee³ Sanja Fidler⁴ Raquel Urtasun⁴ Alan Yuille² Stanford University¹ UCLA² Korea University³ University of Toronto⁴

Due to a bug in the evaluation code and also inaccuracies in the original annotations, the results that we published in Tables 1 and 2 are not accurate. The correct accuracies can be found below:

	Recall	IOU		Recall	IOU
bag	2.1	1.2	food	16.4	10.7
bed	2.8	0.7	mouse	1.0	0.9
bedcloth	0.0	0.0	plate	10.2	5.6
bench	0.2	0.1	platform	9.9	7.5
book	13.5	5.0	rock	8.0	6.7
cabinet	6.7	4.4	shelves	15.1	3.7
clothes	3.3	1.8	sidewalk	0.6	0.5
computer	0.0	0.0	sign	11.2	7.0
cup	1.9	1.4	snow	20.8	16.4
curtain	22.1	11.6	truck	0.6	0.2
door	3.6	2.3	window	31.7	14.6
fence	10.9	6.6	wood	1.2	0.8
flower	14.6	6.8	light	14.3	8.5
			Avg.	8.6	4.8

Table 1. The subset of 59 most frequent classes that have low segmentation accuracy according to O_2P [1] results.

References

- J. Carreira, R. Caseiroa, J. Batista, and C. Sminchisescu. Semantic segmentation with second-order pooling. In *ECCV*, 2012.
- [2] J. Tighe and S. Lazebnik. Superparsing: Scalable nonparametric image parsing with superpixels. In *ECCV*, 2010. 1

	Recall		IOU		
	SuperParsing [2]	$O_2 P[1]$	SuperParsing [2]	O ₂ P [1]	
sky	88.8	93.9	65.6	75.6	
grass	68.0	77.7	45.3	56.0	
water	44.8	72.0	34.5	54.8	
person	72.8	57.6	30.1	44.5	
tree	66.2	66.7	37.8	44.3	
bus	22.8	70.1	14.0	43.2	
wall	66.6	68.1	30.8	40.5	
cat	36.5	66.4	20.1	36.7	
aeroplane	29.3	67.2	19.5	36.4	
car	31.2	55.5	15.0	33.5	
motorbike	25.7	66.1	14.3	32.8	
road	22.8	50.0	15.8	31.2	
track	22.9	44.3	17.5	29.5	
ground	48.9	41.8	24.0	27.6	
dog	18.6	46.3	11.5	26.9	
train	16.6	47.9	10.4	26.7	
horse	2.2	44.8	2.0	26.4	
floor	25.6	46.1	14.4	25.7	
bird	4.9	42.7	4.1	24.6	
building	45.7	31.4	19.8	24.3	
tvmonitor	10.5	48.9	9.0	24.3	
sheep	5.0	38.0	4.2	23.7	
bicycle	16.6	52.5	11.3	23.5	
boat	0.1	37.8	0.0	22.3	
mountain	10.3	30.4	8.8	19.2	
keyboard	0.1	34.6	0.1	18.2	
cow	0.1	24.6	0.1	16.2	
sofa	4.4	29.2	3.6	16.1	
pottedplant	1.2	40.7	1.1	15.9	
bottle	1.3	35.8	1.2	15.0	
ceiling	9.6	20.1	6.4	12.7	
table	9.6	11.3	6.4	7.0	
chair	3.5	10.1	2.9	6.8	
Avg.	25.3	47.6	15.2	29.1	

Table 2. Segmentation: Nearest-neighbor methods such as [2] do not work well on PASCAL due to the high variability of images. In contrast the O_2P classifier [1] on superpixels performs well.