- (weakest prespecification, weakest postspecification) Given specifications P and Q, find the weakest specification S (in terms of P and Q) such that P is refined by 305
- (a)
- S. Q Q. S (b)

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

```
S. Q
(a)
                                 \forall \sigma, \sigma' \cdot P \Leftarrow (S.Q)
§
                                                                                                                                                                          expand sequential composition
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma' \cdot P \Leftarrow (\exists \sigma'' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot S \rangle \sigma'' \land \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'')
                                                                                                                                           use distributive law to move \exists \sigma'' outward
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \cdot P \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot S \rangle \sigma'' \land \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   portation
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \cdot (P \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'') \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                               use distributive law to move \forall \sigma' inward
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma'' \cdot (\forall \sigma' \cdot P \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'') \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                                                                                          rename \sigma' to \sigma'''
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma'' \cdot (\forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'' \rangle \sigma''') \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                                                                                           rename \sigma'' to \sigma'
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma' \cdot (\forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot \widetilde{Q} \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma') \Leftarrow S
                   Hence \forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma' is the desired weakest prespecification. Let
                   Q^{\cup} be the transpose of Q, defined as
                                 Q^{\cup} = (substitute \sigma for \sigma' and simultaneously \sigma' for \sigma in Q)
                   Then we can write the weakest prespecification as follows.
                                 \forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma'
                                \forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot Q^{\cup} \rangle \sigma'''
                   =
                                \neg \exists \sigma''' \cdot \neg \langle \sigma' \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \land \langle \sigma \cdot Q^{\cup} \rangle \sigma'''
                                \neg \exists \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot \neg P \rangle \sigma''' \land \langle \sigma \cdot O^{\cup} \rangle \sigma'''
                                \neg (\neg P. O^{\cup})
(b)
                                 Q.S
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma' \cdot P \Leftarrow (Q.S)
                                                                                                                                                                          expand sequential composition
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma' \cdot P \Leftarrow (\exists \sigma'' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'' \land \langle \sigma \cdot S \rangle \sigma'')
                                                                                                                                           use distributive law to move \exists \sigma'' outward
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \cdot P \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'' \land \langle \sigma \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   portation
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \cdot (P \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'') \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                                use distributive law to move \forall \sigma inward
                                \forall \sigma', \sigma'' \cdot (\forall \sigma \cdot P \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \rangle \sigma'') \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                   =
                                                                                                                                                                                                            rename \sigma to \sigma'''
                                \forall \sigma', \sigma'' \cdot (\forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma'') \Leftarrow \langle \sigma \cdot S \rangle \sigma''
                                                                                                                                                                                                             rename \sigma'' to \sigma
                                \forall \sigma, \sigma' \cdot (\forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma) \Leftarrow S
                   Hence \forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma is the desired weakest postspecification. Let
                   Q^{\cup} be the transpose of Q, defined as
                                Q^{\cup} = (substitute \sigma for \sigma' and simultaneously \sigma' for \sigma in Q)
                   Then we can write the weakest postspecification as follows.
                                \forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot Q \rangle \sigma''' \rangle \sigma
                                \forall \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma \cdot P \rangle \sigma''' \Leftarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \cup \rangle \sigma'''
                                \neg \exists \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot Q \cup \rangle \sigma''' \land \neg \langle \sigma \cdot P \rangle \sigma'''
                                \neg \exists \sigma''' \cdot \langle \sigma' \cdot Q^{\cup} \rangle \sigma''' \land \langle \sigma \cdot \neg P \rangle \sigma'''
```

 $\neg (Q^{\cup}, \neg P)$