

90 (baby) Formalize the statements
Everyone loves my baby.
My baby loves only me.
I am my baby.
and prove that the first two statements imply the last statement.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall p \cdot (p \text{ loves } mybaby) \\ & (mybaby \text{ loves } me) \wedge \neg(\exists p \cdot p \neq me \wedge (mybaby \text{ loves } p)) \\ & me = mybaby \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof: starting with the first two statements,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall p \cdot (p \text{ loves } mybaby)) \wedge (mybaby \text{ loves } me) \wedge \neg(\exists p \cdot p \neq me \wedge (mybaby \text{ loves } p)) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{duality} \\ = & (\forall p \cdot (p \text{ loves } mybaby)) \wedge (mybaby \text{ loves } me) \wedge (\forall p \cdot p = me \vee \neg(mybaby \text{ loves } p)) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{specialize both } ps \\ \Rightarrow & (mybaby \text{ loves } mybaby) \wedge (mybaby \text{ loves } me) \\ & \wedge (mybaby = me \vee \neg(mybaby \text{ loves } mybaby)) \qquad \text{use the first conjunct as context} \\ = & (mybaby \text{ loves } mybaby) \wedge (mybaby \text{ loves } me) \wedge (mybaby = me \vee \neg \top) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{binary axiom, base, and specialize} \\ \Rightarrow & mybaby = me \end{aligned}$$

I suspect that “Everyone loves my baby.” should be formalized as

$$\forall p \cdot p \neq mybaby \Rightarrow (p \text{ loves } mybaby)$$

and then the last statement is not provable from the first two.