

# lecture 2: software architecture

csc302h winter 2014



## administrative

- · assignment 1 out this week
- · (initial) groups posted to website
  - still without a group? see me at the end
  - see paper on "hitchhikers"
- anyone with prerequisite issues please see me at the end of today's lecture.
- · anyone not officially enrolled please see me



## recap from last time

- · we build models to help:
  - during design
  - to analyze existing systems (reverse engineer)
  - to help us communicate
- · models are abstractions
  - help us focus on important aspects, not blinded by the details
  - decomposition, modularization, association
- introduced some uml
- modeling: we do it all the time...sometimes too much of a good thing




today

software architecture

# Showing the architecture

- → Coupling and Cohesion
- → UML Package Diagrams
- → Software Architectural Styles:
  - **♦ Layered Architectures**
  - ♥ Pipe-and-filter
  - **♦ Object Oriented Architecture**
  - **♥ Implicit Invocation**
  - **♦** Repositories

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license







Department of Computer Science


# Conway's Law


"The structure of a software system reflects the structure of the organisation that built it"

© 2008 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.











Department of Computer Science

# **UML Packages**

## We need to represent our architectures

UML elements can be grouped together in packages Elements of a package may be:

- > other packages (representing subsystems or modules);
- > classes:
- > models (e.g. use case models, interaction diagrams, statechart diagrams, etc)

Each element of a UML model is owned by a single package

## Criteria for decomposing a system into packages:

Different owners

who is responsible for working on which diagrams?

Different applications


each problem has its own obvious partitions;


Clusters of classes with strong cohesion


e.g., course, course description, instructor, student,...


Or: use an architectural pattern to help find a suitable decomposition...


© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.




















15

## **Layered Systems**

Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p25. See also van Vliet, 1999, p281.



#### **Examples**

**Operating Systems** communication protocols

### Interesting properties

Support increasing levels of abstraction during design Support enhancement (add functionality) and re-use can define standard layer interfaces

#### **Disadvantages**


May not be able to identify (clean) layers

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license

#### closed architecture

Each layer only uses services of the layer immediately below;


Minimizes dependencies between layers and reduces the impact of a change.




#### open architecture


A layer can use services from any lower layer. More compact code, as the services of lower layers can be accessed directly


Breaks the encapsulation of layers, so increase dependencies between layers





© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license



















summary

- · avoid unnecessary coupling & cohesion
- if a layered approach, what are the layers?
  what goes in each
  - following a pattern like MVC, MVP?
- modularize for reusability (well designed public interface)
- uml diagrams for discussing architecture
  - adherence to uml syntax is not the point
  - clearly communicating the architecture is the point



summary (2)


"Il semble que la perfection soit atteinte non quand il n'y a plus rien à ajouter, mais quand il n'y a plus rien à retrancher." – Antoine de Saint Exupéry, Terre des Hommes, 1939


(my) translation: "perfection is finally attained not when there is no longer anything to add, but when there is no longer anything to take away"



summary (3)

 tons of uml stuff online, but for those that still like paper books I recommend:







group selection – round 2