

lecture 14 static analysis

csc302h winter 2014



announcements

- a3 is posted
- · required reading posted
- a2 & midterm being graded
- · lecture series starts this week in tutorial
 - attendance is mandatory, counts for participation, and you won't want to miss it!



recap from last time

- structural testing (a.k.a. white-box testing)
 - should be called "clear-box" testing
 - based on structure of code
 - coverage == all paths through code tested
- functional testing (a.k.a. black-box testing)
 - can't see inside
 - test cases derived from use cases
- other types of testing:
 - data-flow, boundary, usability, acceptance, exploratory, interference, inheritance, etc.



recap from last time (2)

- test driven development (TDD)
 - 1. developer writes (initially failing) unit tests
 - 2. then, write minimum code to pass unit test
 - 3. then refactor (i.e. write more code) to meet full specification
- automated testing definition is obvious

 i tend to think of automated testing, TDD, and
 regression testing as the same thing, or rather,
 parts of a whole. these parts make up what I think
 of as "developer testing" and are an essential
 practice as far as i am concerned.



recap from last time (3)

- when to release?
 - depends on context
 - how hard/easy to do a release?
 - what are consequences of releasing half-baked?
 - competition?
 - absolute number of defects is maybe not so important
 - arrival & departure rates may be more important
 - what about defect severities? (didn't mention this last time, but it is critical!)



static analysis

- static (program) analysis refers to the analysis of a program's source code.
- afaik, lint was the first static analysis tool
 - *lint* is almost as old as me!
 - but wait, I'm not _that_ old! what gives?
- doesn't my IDE just do it for me?
 - lets discuss this at the end...
 - btw, my first "IDE" was *vi* (still not older than me, but very close!)



vi





Department of Computer Science

Static Analysis Tools

Where static analysis tools fit

Example tools

Limitations of static analysis

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.



Static Analysis

Analyzes the program without running it

Doesn't need any test cases

Doesn't know what the program is supposed to do Looks for violations of good programming practice Looks for particular types of programming error

Where it fits as a verification technique:

1) Avoid dumb mistakes

Pair Programming

Code Inspection

Developer unit testing ("test case first" strategy)

2) Find the dumb mistakes you failed to avoid

Style Checkers

Static Analysis

3) Make sure the software does what it is supposed to

Black box and system testing Independent testing

(Note: Also need validation techniques!)

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license

University of Toronto

Department of Computer Science

Example tools

FindBugs

Originally a research project at U Maryland Has large number of bug patterns http://findbugs.sourceforge.net/

JLint

Developed by Konstantin Knizhnik, updated by Cyrille Artho http://jlint.sourceforge.net/

PMD ("Programming Mistake Detector"??)


http://pmd.sourceforge.net/


written by Tom Copeland focuses on inefficient code, e.g. over-complex expressions

ESC/Java (Extended Static Checker for Java)

Originally developed at Compaq Research ESC/Java2 is open source, managed at U College Dublin http://kind.ucd.ie/products/opensource/ESCJava2/

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.







Department of Computer Science

Different tools find different bugs

Bug Category	Example	ESC/Java	FindBugs	JLint	PMD
General	Null dereference	1	\	\	✓
Concurrency	Possible deadlock	✓	✓	✓	✓
Exceptions	Possible unexpected exception	1			
Array	Length may be less than zero	1		✓	
Mathematics	Division by zero	1		1	
Conditional, loop	Unreachable code due to constant guard		1		1
String	Checking equality with == or !=		✓	✓	1
Object overriding	Equal objects must have equal hashcodes		1	1	1
I/O stream	Stream not closed on all paths		✓		
Unused or duplicate statement	Unused local variable		1		1
Design	Should be a static inner class		1		
Unnecessary statement	Unnecessary return statement				✓

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.

University of Toronto

Department of Computer Science

Which bug is worse?

```
int x = 2, y = 3;
if (x == y)
  if (y == 3)
      x = 3;
else
  x = 4;
```

Detected by: PMD (if using certain rulesets)

Not detected in testing

Detected by: JLint, FindBugs, ESC/Java

Also detected in testing

© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.



Department of Computer Science

Limitations of Static Analysis

Large numbers of false positives

Tool reports large number of things that aren't bugs Programmer must manually review the list and decide

Sometime too many warnings to sort - E.g. Rutar et. al. (approx 2500 classes)

	ESC/Java	FindBugs	JLint	PMD
Concurrency Warnings	126	122	8883	0
Null dereferencing	9120	18	449	0
Null assignment	0	0	0	594
Index out of bounds	1810	0	264	0

False negatives

Types of bugs the tool won't report

(increased risk if we filter results to remove false positives?)

Harmless bugs

Many of the bugs will be low priority problems Cost/benefit analysis: Is it worth fixing these?



© 2012 Steve Easterbrook. This presentation is available free for non-commercial use with attribution under a creative commons license.