#### CSC304 Lecture 22

#### BUT FIRST...

#### **Course Evaluation**

#### Low response rate

#### Complete your course evaluations...



Check your e-mail for a link to your evaluations, or log-in to <u>www.portal.utoronto.ca</u> and click the *Course Evals* tab!



### Should I expect drumrolls?

#### **Course Evaluation**

#### New response rate

## REVIEW (Of most concepts)

## Part I: Game Theory

- Normal (matrix) form games
- Strategies: pure & mixed
- Weak/strict dominance
  - Strategy A dominates strategy B
  - Iterated elimination of dominated strategy
  - Strategy A is dominant
- Nash equilibrium: pure and mixed
  > Nash's theorem

### Part I: Game Theory

- Price of anarchy and stability
  - > Anarchy: Worst NE vs social optimum
  - > Stability: Best NE vs social optimum
  - $\succ$  PoA  $\ge$  PoS  $\ge$  1
- Potential functions
  - Cost-sharing games
  - > Braess' paradox
- Zero-sum games
  - > The minimax theorem
- Stackelberg games, Stackelberg equilibrium

# Part II: Mech Design w/ Money

- Goals: social welfare or revenue
- Incentive guarantees:
  - Strategyproofness
  - > Bayes-Nash incentive compatibility (BNIC)
- VCG mechanism
  - Strategyproof + maximizes social welfare on every instance
  - >  $\sqrt{m}$  approximation for single-minded bidders
  - > Sponsored search, comparison to GSP
- Myerson's auction
  - Strategyproof + maximizes expected revenue among all BNIC mechanisms

## Part II: Mech Design w/ Money

- Revelation principle
- Revenue equivalence principle
- Bayes-Nash equilibria of non-BNIC mechanisms
  > 1<sup>st</sup> price auction and its equilibrium

# Part III: Mech Design w/o Money

- Facility location
- Social cost
  - > The median mechanism
- Maximum cost
  - > The left-right-middle mechanism
- Stable matching
  - > Gale-Shapley deferred acceptance algorithm

# Part IV: Voting

- Ranked voting
- Voting rules
- Gibbard-Satterthwaite theorem
- Axiomatic approach to voting
  - Strategyproofness, strong / weak monotonicity, consistency, Condorcet consistency
- Utilitarian approach to voting
- Impartial selection

## Part V: Fair Division

- Cake-cutting
  - > Proportionality and envy-freeness
  - > Robertson-Webb model
- 2-players
  - Cut-and-choose
- 3+ players proportional
  - > Dubins-Spanier protocol (moving knife)
  - > Even-Paz protocol
- Pareto optimality
- Strategyproofness via perfect partition

### Part V: Fair Division

- Indivisible goods
  - Envy-freeness up to one good
  - > Maximum Nash Welfare allocation