Iterative Correctness

2025-07-09



Recap

- Correctness: Precondition \implies Postcondition
- input size.
- Karatsuba's Algorithm for multiplication.
 - $n^{\log_2(3)} \le n^{1.59}$ time!

• For recursive algorithms, proof of correctness is done by induction on the

Iterative Algorithms

- 1 i = 0
- 2 while i < N:
- 3 # some more code here...
- 4 i += 1

- Convention: After the kth iteration means just before the loop condition is evaluated for the k + 1 time
- After the kth iteration is the same as before the k + 1th iteration

Example More multiplication!

- Input: (x, y)
- Precondition: $x,y\in\mathbb{N}$
- Postcondition: return xy.

Subscripts

• If x is some variable in the function, use x_i to denote the value of a variable after iteration i

General Strategy

Prove the following:

- **Initialization.** Show that the loop invariant is true at the start of the loop if the precondition holds.
- Maintenance. Show that if the loop invariant is true at the start of any iteration, it is also true at the start of the next iteration.
- **Termination**. Show that the loop terminates and that when the loop terminates, the loop invariant applied to the last iteration implies the postcondition.

Define a loop invariant - some property that is true at the end of every iteration. Call the property P(i). I.e., P(i) holds if the property is true after iteration i. WTS $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. P(i)



Runtime

1	<pre>def mult(x, y):</pre>
2	i = 0
3	total = 0
4	while i < x:
5	total = total + y
6	i = i + 1

For Loops \iff While Loops

1 for i in range(N): 2 # some code here...```

is equivalent to

 $1 \quad i = 0$ 2 while i < N: # some code here... 3 i += 1 4



Proving Termination

- Usually, a consequence of the loop invariant.
- The loop invariant implies the loop condition is true after iterations $0,\!1,\!...,\!N-1$
- The loop invariant implies the loop condition is false after iteration N, so the loop exits after the Nth iteration.

Mystery Algorithm

Precondition: $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, y > 0.

1 def mystery(x, y): 2 val = 0 3 c = 0 4 while val < x: 5 val = val + y 6 c = c + 1 7 return c

Convention

If the predicate P(n) has multiple parts like

a. ...

b. ...

Use P(n).a, P(n).b,... to refer to specific parts of the predicate.

Variations

- One loop after another
 - Prove the correctness of each loop in sequence
- Nested loops

• "Inside out". Decompose (or imagine) the inner loop as a separate function. Prove the correctness of that function as a lemma and then prove the correctness of the outer loop. We'll see some examples in the tutorial.

Another way to prove termination Descending Sequence

- Define a descending sequence of natural numbers indexed by the iteration number. I.e. $a_1 > a_2 > a_3 > \ldots$
- Then, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, \}$ must be a finite set; otherwise, it would be a set of natural numbers with no minimal element, contradicting the Well-Ordering Principle.

Example.

1 def mystery(x, y): val = 0 c = 0 while val < x:</pre> val = val + yc = c + 1return c



Proofs of Termination

- Most of the time, the LI will imply termination, saving you from having to do another induction proof. I prefer this method.
- However, it is easier to define a descending sequence of natural numbers in some cases - we'll see some examples in the tutorial.

Merge

```
def merge(x, y):
 1
        l = []
 2
        while len(x) > 0 or len(y) > 0:
 3
            if len(x) > 0 and len(y) > 0:
 4
                if y[0] <= x[0]:
 5
                     l_append(y_pop(0)) # 1.
 6
 7
                else:
                     l_append(x_pop(0)) # 2.
 8
 9
            elif len(x) == 0:
                 l.append(y.pop(0)) # 3.
10
11
            else:
                 l.append(x.pop(0)) # 4.
12
13
        return l
```

• Inputs: *x*, *y* are lists of sortable elements.

• Precondition: *x*, *y* are sorted lists

• Postcondition: returns a sorted list consisting of all the elements in *x* and *y*

Counters

- If $l \in \text{List}[X]$, then Counter(l) is a mapping of elements of l to the number of times they appear.
- E.g. Counter([1,2,3,2,1,1,1,4]) = $\{1:4, 2:2, 3:1, 4:1\}$
- You can think of $\operatorname{Counter}(l)$ as a function from $X \to \mathbb{N}$
- $\{1:4, 2:2, 3:1, 4:1\}$

Merge

```
def merge(x, y):
 1
        l = []
 2
        while len(x) > 0 or len(y) > 0:
 3
            if len(x) > 0 and len(y) > 0:
 4
                if y[0] <= x[0]:
 5
                     l.append(y.pop(0)) # 1.
 6
 7
                else:
                    l_append(x_pop(0)) # 2
 8
 9
            elif len(x) == 0:
                l_append(y_pop(0)) # 3.
10
11
            else:
                 l.append(x.pop(0)) # 4.
12
13
        return l
```

Inputs: *x*, *y* are lists of sortable elements.
Precondition: *x*, *y* are sorted lists
Postcondition:

```
1 def merge(x, y):
       l = []
 2
        while len(x) > 0 or len(y) > 0:
 3
            if len(x) > 0 and len(y) > 0:
 4
                if y[0] <= x[0]:
 5
                   l.append(y.pop(0)) # 1.
 6
 7
                else:
8
                    l.append(x.pop(0)) # 2.
            elif len(x) == 0:
9
                l.append(y.pop(0)) # 3.
10
11
            else:
                l.append(x.pop(0)) # 4.
12
13
        return l
```

Takeaways

- It's normal for the loop invariant to have many parts!
- If you're trying to prove a loop invariant and you get stuck and wish some other property holds, try adding what you need as part of the loop invariant.
- For example, it's common for part 4 of a loop invariant to imply part 1 of the loop invariant.

Summary: Correctness

- size of the input.
- Loop Invariant, proving the Loop Invariant, and showing that the Loop Invariant holds at the end of the algorithm implies the postcondition.

• If the algorithm is recursive, prove correctness directly by induction on the

• For algorithms with loops, prove the correctness of the loop by defining a