Lecture 13: Object Oriented Modelling

- Object Oriented Analysis
  - Rationale
  - Identifying Classes
  - Attributes and Operations
- Class Diagrams
  - Associations
  - Multiplicity
  - Aggregation
  - Composition
  - Generalization

Requirements & Domain Models



- Our analysis models should…
  - …represent people, physical things and concepts important to the analyst's understanding of what is going on in the application domain
  - …show connections and interactions among these people, things and relevant concepts.
  - …show the business situation in enough detail to evaluate possible designs.
  - …be organized to be useful later, during design and implementation of the software.
  - …allow us to check whether the functions we will include in the specification will satisfy the requirements
  - …test our understanding of how the new system will interact with the world

Object Oriented Analysis

- Background
  - Model the requirements in terms of objects and the services they provide
  - Grew out of object oriented design
    - Applied to modelling the application domain rather than the program
- Motivation
  - OO is (claimed to be) more 'natural'
    - As a system evolves, the functions it performs need to be changed more often than the objects on which they operate…
    - …a model based on objects (rather than functions) will be more stable over time…
    - …hence the claim that object-oriented designs are more maintainable
  - OO emphasizes importance of well-defined interfaces between objects
    - compared to ambiguities of dataflow relationships

NOTE: OO applies to requirements engineering because it is a modeling tool. But we are modeling domain objects, not the design of the new system

Nearly anything can be an object…

Source: *Adapted from Pressman, 1994, p242*

- External Entities
  - …that interact with the system being modeled
    - E.g. people, devices, other systems
- Things
  - …that are part of the domain being modeled
    - E.g. reports, displays, signals, etc.
- Occurrences or Events
  - …that occur in the context of the system
    - E.g. transfer of resources, a control action, etc.
- Roles
  - played by people who interact with the system
- Organizational Units
  - that are relevant to the application
    - E.g. division, group, team, etc.
- Places
  - …that establish the context of the problem being modeled
    - E.g. manufacturing floor, loading dock, etc.
- Structures
  - that define a class or assembly of objects
    - E.g. sensors, four-wheeled vehicles, computers, etc.

Some things cannot be objects:

- *procedures (e.g. print, invert, etc)*
- *attributes (e.g. blue, 50Mb, etc)*

What are classes?

- A class describes a group of objects with
  - similar properties (attributes),
  - common behaviour (operations),
  - common relationships to other objects,
  - and common meaning ("semantics").
- Examples
  - employee: has a name, employee# and department; an employee is hired, and fired; an employee works in one or more projects



Finding Classes

- Finding classes source data:
  - Look for nouns and noun phrases in stakeholders' descriptions of the problem
    - include in the model if they explain the nature or structure of information in the application.
- Finding classes from other sources:
  - Reviewing background information;
  - Users and other stakeholders;
  - Analysis patterns;
- It's better to include many candidate classes at first
  - You can always eliminate them later if they turn out not to be useful
  - Explicitly deciding to discard classes is better than just not thinking about them

Selecting Classes

- Discard classes for concepts which:
  - Are beyond the scope of the analysis;
  - Refer to the system as a whole;
  - Duplicate other classes;
  - Are too vague or too specific
    - e.g. have too many or too few instances
  - Coad & Yourdon's criteria:
    - Retained information: Will the system need to remember information about this class of objects?
    - Needed Services: Do objects in this class have identifiable operations that change the values of their attributes?
    - Multiple Attributes: If the class only has one attribute, it may be better represented as an attribute of another class
    - Common Attributes: Does the class have attributes that are shared with all instances of its objects?
    - Common Operations: Does the class have operations that are shared with all instances of its objects?
  - External entities that produce or consume information essential to the system should be included as classes

Objects vs. Classes

- The instances of a class are called objects.
  - Objects are represented as:



  - Two different objects may have identical attribute values (like two people with identical name and address)
- Objects have associations with other objects
  - E.g. Fred_Bloggs:employee is associated with the KillerApp:project object
  - But we will capture these relationships at the class level (why?)
  - Note: Make sure attributes are associated with the right class
    - E.g. you don't want both managerName and manager# as attributes of Project! (...Why??)

Associations

→ Objects do not exist in isolation from one another
- A relationship represents a connection among things.
- In UML, there are different types of relationships:
  - Association
  - Aggregation and Composition
  - Generalization
  - Dependency
  - Realization
- Note: The last two are not useful during requirements analysis

→ Class diagrams show classes and their relationships



Association Multiplicity

→ Ask questions about the associations:
- Can a campaign exist without a member of staff to manage it?
  - If yes, then the association is optional at the Staff end - zero or one
- If a campaign cannot exist without a member of staff to manage it
  - then it is not optional
- if it must be managed by one and only one member of staff then we show it like this - exactly one
- What about the other end of the association?
- Does every member of staff have to manage exactly one campaign?
  - No. So the correct multiplicity is zero or more.

→ Some examples of specifying multiplicity:

Optional (0 or 1)	0..1	
Exactly one	1	= 1..1
Zero or more	0..*	= *
One or more	1..*	
A range of values	1..6	
A set of ranges	1..3,7..10,15,19..*	

Class associations



More Examples



Association Classes

→ Sometimes the association is itself a class
- …because we need to retain information about the association
- …and that information doesn't naturally live in the classes at the ends of the association
  - E.g. a "title" is an object that represents information about the relationship between an owner and her car



Aggregation and Composition

→ Aggregation
- This is the "Has-a" or "Whole/part" relationship

→ Composition
- Strong form of aggregation that implies ownership:
  - if the whole is removed from the model, so is the part.
  - the whole is responsible for the disposition of its parts



Generalization



→ Notes:
- Subclasses inherit attributes, associations, & operations from the superclass
- A subclass may override an inherited aspect
  - e.g. AdminStaff & CreativeStaff have different methods for calculating bonuses
- Superclasses may be declared {abstract}, meaning they have no instances
  - Implies that the subclasses cover all possibilities
  - e.g. there are no other staff than AdminStaff and CreativeStaff

More on Generalization

→ Usefulness of generalization
- Can easily add new subclasses if the organization changes

→ Look for generalizations in two ways:
- Top Down
  - You have a class, and discover it can be subdivided
  - Or you have an association that expresses a "kind of" relationship
  - E.g. *"Most of our work is on advertising for the press, that's newspapers and magazines, also for advertising hoardings, as well as for videos"*
- Bottom Up
  - You notice similarities between classes you have identified
  - E.g. *"We have books and we have CDs in the collection, but they are all filed using the Dewey system, and they can all be lent out and reserved"*

→ But don't generalize just for the sake of it
- Be sure that everything about the superclass applies to the subclasses
- Be sure that the superclass is useful as a class in its own right
  - I.e. not one that we would discard using our tests for useful classes
- Don't add subclasses or superclasses that are not relevant to your analysis

Class Diagrams



Evaluation of OOA

→ Advantages of OO analysis for RE

- Fits well with the use of OO for design and implementation
  - Transition from OOA to OOD 'smoother' (but is it?)
- Removes emphasis on functions as a way of structuring the analysis
- Avoids the fragmentary nature of structured analysis
  - object-orientation is a coherent way of understanding the world

→ Disadvantages

- Emphasis on objects brings an emphasis on static modeling
  - although later variants have introduced dynamic models
- Not clear that the modeling primitives are appropriate
  - are objects, services and relationships really the things we need to model in RE?
- Strong temptation to do design rather than problem analysis
- Fragmentation of the analysis
  - E.g. reliance on use-cases means there is no "big picture" of the user's needs
- Too much marketing hype!
  - and false claims - e.g. no evidence that objects are a more natural way to think