# Lecture 21: Process Improvement

### → Basics of Process Modeling

### → Managing Process Change

♦ The quest for continuous process improvement
♦ Humphrey's Capability Maturity Model (CMM)

### → Towards Zero-Defect Software

 $\boldsymbol{\$}$  lessons from NASA's Software Engineering Lab

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

© 2001. Steve Easterbrook

#### University of Toronto Department of Computer Science Basics of process modeling → Software Process 🗞 "the collection of related activities, events, mechanisms, tasks, and procedures seen as a coherent process for production of a software system to meet a given need" Software processes are software too!" → Benefits of explicitly modeling the process: ✤ improved communication among team ♦ process reuse: successes can be repeated process improvement: can ensure lessons learnt are incorporated after each project > A software development project has two main outputs: a product and some experience > The experience is often thrown away > Individuals may remember and apply the lessons (but individuals move on, or don't have the authority to change things) $\rightarrow$ Underlying principle ♦ Fix the process not the product © 2001 Steve Easterbrook

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science Background Source: Adapted from Blum, 1992, p473-479. See also van Vliet, 1999, sections 6.3 and 6.6 → Industrial Engineering ♦ Product Inspection (1920s) > examine intermediate and final products to detect defects Sprocess Control (1960s) > monitor defect rates to identify defective process elements & control the process ♦ Design Improvement (1980s) > engineering the process and the product to minimize the potential for defects → Deming and TQM & Use statistical methods to analyze industrial production processes ✤ Identify causes of defects and eliminate them ♦ Basic principles are counter-intuitive: > in the event of a defect (sample product out of bounds)... > ...don't adjust the controller or you'll make things worse. > Instead, analyze the process and improve it → Adapted to Software **%** No variability among individual product instances ♦ All defects are design errors (no manufacturing errors) ♥ Process improvement principles still apply (to the design process!)



Department of Computer Science

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

University of Toronto

### Managing Process Change Source: Adapted from Humphrey, 1989, chapter 1. → Humphrey's principles:

✤ Major changes to software processes must start at the top

- > ... with senior management leadership
- $\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\forall}}$  Ultimately everyone must be involved
- - > you need a map
  - > you need to know where you are on the map!
- $\clubsuit$  Change is continuous
  - > process improvement is not a one-shot effort
- & Software process improvement requires investment

### → Software Engineering Process Groups (SEPGs)

Team of people within a company responsible for process improvement > identifies key problems, establishes priorities, assigns resources, tracks progress, etc.

✤ Needs senior management support

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

#### University of Toronto

#### Department of Computer Science

**Department of Computer Science** 

## Capability Maturity Model

Source: Adapted from Humphrey, 1989, chapter 1. See also van Vliet, 1999, section 6.6

| Level         | Characteristic   | Key Challenges  |
|---------------|--|---|
| 5. Optimizing | Improvement fed back<br>into process                             | Identify process indicators<br>"Empower" individuals  |
| 4. Managed    | (Quantitative)<br>measured process                               | Automatic collection of process data<br>Use process data to analyze and<br>modify the process               |
| 3. Defined    | (Qualitative)<br>process defined and<br>institutionalized        | Process measurement<br>Process analysis<br>Quantitative Quality Plans                                       |
| 2. Repeatable | (Intuitive)<br>process dependent on<br>individuals               | Establish a process group<br>Identify a process architecture<br>Introduce SE methods and tools              |
| 1. Initial    | Ad hoc / Chaotic<br>No cost estimation,<br>planning, management. | Project Management<br>Project Planning<br>Configuration Mgmnt, Change Control<br>Software Quality Assurance |

SEL Experience Factory

→ NASA Goddard's Software Engineering Lab (SEL)

♦ 20 years of measurement and evaluation of software processes

♦ Software engineering without measurement is not engineering

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

University of Toronto

→ Card's conclusions:

#### University of Toronto

Department of Computer Science

# Towards Zero Defect Software

→ Cannot test-in software quality

 $\clubsuit$  testing or inspection cannot improve the quality of a software product  $\succ$  (by that stage it is too late)

### → Defect removal

✤ Two ways to remove defects:

- $\succ$  fix the defects in each product (i.e patch the product)
- > fix the process that leads to defects (i.e. prevent them occurring)

### → Defect prevention (from Humphrey)

- ✤ Programmers must evaluate their own errors
- $\boldsymbol{\$}$  feedback is essential for defect prevention
- there is no single cure-all for defects (must eliminate causes one by one)
- & process improvement must be an integral part of the process
- ♦ process improvement takes time to learn

> data collection is expensive (e.g. 5%-10% of development cost) > need to know why you're collecting data before you collect it ♥ Software science models do not appear to have practical usefulness > Halstead's, McCabe's complexity models based on theory, not practical utility ♦ Standards that arbitrarily limit module size seem to be ill-advised 🗞 Information hiding is more important than reducing 'bad' forms of coupling > Productivity numbers are often crude and may be misleading > because they don't distinguish between necessary and unnecessary code  $\checkmark$  Delivered source lines of code is not a good measure of work output ♦ Standards are often too comprehensive & Unique projects can still be measured against themselves > measurement is for controlling and improving, not for comparing projects ✤ Test coverage is a vital but seldom used measure 🗞 Unreferenced variables are a good indicator of trouble laimed Measurement makes productivity and quality improvement meaningful © 2001, Steve Easterbrook

✤ Large baseline of experience accumulated

A software enterprise can collect too much data

#### University of Toronto

### References

# van Vliet, H. "Software Engineering: Principles and Practice (2nd Edition)" Wiley, 1999.

van Vliet gives an overview of quality management practices in chapter 6. He covers ISO 9001 as well as CMM, and has a brief comparison with other international standards including IEEE Std 730, BOOTSTRAP, and SPICE. He also does a nice summary of quality, quoting appropriately from "Zen and the Art of Motorcycle Maintenance" (see lecture 12!). van Vliet also then segues into software measurement issues, including a cute analogy on the uses of measurement, in which we observe that black cows produce more milk than white cows, and use this to conclude that we should paint all the cows black. This summarizes very nicely what quality process management ends up doing when applied blindly!

Humphrey, W. S. "Managing the Software Process". Addison-Wesley, 1989.

This book set out many of the central ideas of process management and process improvement. Humphrey describes the capability maturity model (CMM) in detail. Chapter 1 of this book (in which the CMM is first introduced) is included in the course readings. Of course, Humphrey was one of the main inventors of CMM, and hence he doesn't cover any of it's weaknesses, but van Vliet gives a more balanced coverage.

Blum, B. "Software Engineering: A Holistic View". Oxford "2001.Steve Easterprook "University Press. 1992.