Types

Since each expression has a type, each definition also has a type.

• square n = n*n

then square has type Integer -> Integer

(Ok, it really is something more generic, but let me lie for once more.)

• mynumber = square 10

then mynumber has type Integer

Specifying Types

• You can specify the type of an expression or a subexpression:

10 + 12 :: Integer --specifies the whole expression 10 + (12 :: Integer) --specifies the 12

• You can also specify the type of a definition. Write it on a separate line.

```
square :: Integer -> Integer
square n = n * n
```

• Generally, x::T is pronounced as "x has type T".

You may omit such specifications. Then Haskell will compute the most "generic" types possible.

Defining Your Own Types

Let us define a colour type. It will be like an enumerated type.

data Colour = Red | Green | Blue

- The name of the new type is Colour.
- Its possible values are: Red, Green, Blue.

Note:

- Red, Green, Blue are called *constructors* of Colour: they produce values of the type.
- Type names and constructor names must begin with capital letters.

Writing Functions for Your Types

Let us define a function that maps Colour to integer RGB codes. Red goes to 255×2^{16} , Green goes to 255×2^8 , Blue goes to 255.

```
toRGB :: Colour -> Int
toRGB c = case c of
  Red -> 255 * 2^16
  Green -> 255 * 2^8
  Blue -> 255
```

This is just like procedural languages. Is there a more elegant way?

Writing Functions for Your Types (cont.)

More elegant way:

toRGB :: Colour -> Int
toRGB Red = 255 * 2^16
toRGB Green = 255 * 2^8
toRGB Blue = 255

Execution view:

- Computer compares the actual parameter with the formal parameters.
- Computer selects the first equation that matches.

This is called *pattern matching*.

How to Write a Function

Human conceptual view:

• I want Red to be mapped to 255×2^{16} .

 $toRGB Red = 255 * 2^{16}$

• I also want Green to be mapped to 255×2^8 .

toRGB Green = $255 * 2^8$

• I also want Blue to be mapped to 255.

```
toRGB Blue = 255
```

This is how you should write a function or read one.

More Examples of Functions

More functions written with pattern matching. Try to get used to them.

• Straightforward factorial.

```
factorial :: Integer -> Integer
factorial 1 = 1
factorial n = n * factorial (n-1)
```

• Smart factorial.

```
smartfact :: Integer -> Integer
smartfact n = f 1 n
where f p 1 = p
f p i = f (p*i) (i-1)
```

A More Interesting Type

Let us define a shape type. A shape will be a rectangle or an ellipse.

- A rectangle will have a width and a height.
- An ellipse will have a width and a height too (lengths of the axes).

Kind of like a union type.

Now each constructor takes some paramters.

E.g., Rectangle takes two floating-point numbers, a width and a height. (Unfortunately the syntax only lets us write the types.)

A More Interesting Type (cont.)

Some expressions of type Shape:

Rectangle 1.0 2.0 :: Shape Ellipse 2.0 3.0 :: Shape

If you enter them at a Haskell prompt, you'll get an error message:

ERROR: Cannot find "show" function for: *** Expression : Rectangle 1.0 2.0 *** Of type : Shape

The computer is saying, "I don't know how to display data of this type." How do we fix the stupid computer?

A More Interesting Type (cont.)

Add a line "deriving Show" at the end of the type declaration:

This tells the computer, "just display data of this type naïvely." Now you can enter:

```
Rectangle 1.0 2.0
```

And the computer will display it.

A More Interesting Function

Let us write a function to compute areas of shapes.

```
area :: Shape -> Float
```

• Area of rectangle is width times height.

area (Rectangle w h) = w * h

The parentheses are needed when there are parameters to the constructor.

• Area of ellipse is π times width times height.

area (Ellipse w h) = pi * w * h

• Done!

Constructor vs Function

Consider again:

```
Rectangle 1.0 2.0 :: Shape
```

• The constructor is acting like a function:

```
Rectangle :: Float -> Float -> Shape
```

In fact you can use it as such.

- So Red, Green, Blue are like functions requiring no parameters.
- But constructors and functions are different. E.g., cannot use functions in pattern matching.

An Introduction to Lists

Some example lists:

```
[False, True, False] :: [Bool]
[Rectangle 1.0 2.0, Ellipse 2.0 3.0] :: [Shape]
[] --the empty list, pronounced nil
```

- We will discuss the type of [] later. For now, it just works.
- Because of strong typing, Haskell lists are *homogeneous*: all elements in a list must be of the same type.

To simulate heterogenous lists, use list of a union type, just like how we mix rectangles and ellipses in the same list.

An Introduction to Lists (cont.)

- The operator : adds an element to the front of a list. False: [True] gives [False, True]
- In fact, [] and : are constructors of the list types.

[] :: [Bool] (:) :: Bool -> [Bool] -> [Bool]

So you can use them in pattern matching.

- [False, True] is really constructed in these stages:
 - 1. start with constructor []
 - 2. use constructor : to add True. True: []
 - 3. use constructor : to add False. False:True:[]

A Function Of List

Write a function that adds up a list of integers.

```
addList :: [Integer] -> Integer
```

• Hey I know how to do it when the list is empty.

addList [] = 0

• If the list is not empty, then it is like x:xs, where x is the first number and xs is the rest of the list. I will add x to the sum of xs.

The sum of xs is, of course, addList xs.

addList (x:xs) = x + addList xs

• Done!

A More Interesting Function of List

Write a function that adds up the areas in a list of shapes.

```
areaList :: [Shape] -> Float
```

• Again, I know how to deal with the empty list.

```
areaList [] = 0
```

• If the list is like x:xs, I will compute the area of x, then add it to the sum of the areas in xs.

areaList (x:xs) = area x + areaList xs

• Done!