### Folding: Motivation

We wrote a function to add up a list:

```
sumList [] = 0
sumList (x:xs) = x + sumList xs
```

In the assignment, we also wrote a function to multiply up a list:

```
prodList [] = 1
prodList (x:xs) = x * prodList xs
```

There is a lot of similarity here; only the binary operator and the initial value are different.

We can generalize this pattern and reduce boring coding.

### Folding: Left and Right

The r in foldr means it computes from the right hand side:

```
foldr (+) 0 [1,2,3] = 1+(2+(3+0))
```

Similarly, there is a foldl that computes from the left hand side:

foldl 
$$(+)$$
 0  $[1,2,3] = ((0+1)+2)+3$ 

It looks like this:

```
fold1 :: (a->b->b) -> b -> [a] -> b
fold1 f init [] = init
fold1 f init (x:xs) = fold1 f (init 'f' x) xs
```

#### Folding

The library function foldr captures the pattern in sumList and prodList. Here is what it looks like. We need to give it as parameters:

- ullet the initial value init for the empty list case, e.g., 0
- ullet the binary function f to be used, e.g., addition

```
foldr f init [] = init
foldr f init (x:xs) = x 'f' foldr f init xs)
foldr :: (a->b->b) -> b -> [a] -> b
```

Examples

```
sumList xs = foldr (+) 0 xs
prodList xs = foldr (*) 1 xs
```

FP Lecture 4

## Folding: When to Use Which

Which of foldl and foldr should we use? It depends on the situation.

- We probably want to use foldl to add up integers. It is tail-recursive
- But we probably want to use foldr to join a list of strings

takes quadratic time, while

takes linear time. This is because (++) is linear in its first argument.

FP Lecture 4 FP Lecture 4

### Currying: Introduction

Consider the following function

```
myadd x y z = x+y+z
                         myadd :: Int -> Int -> Int -> Int
```

a number". But it could also be read as: The type could be read as "a function that takes three numbers and returns

• myadd :: Int -> Int -> (Int -> Int)

takes two numbers and returns a function Int->Int

myadd :: Int -> (Int -> Int -> Int)

takes one number and returns a function Int->Int->Int

### **Currying: Examples**

Using currying, we can shorten the definition of sumList a bit. Recall:

```
sumList xs = foldr (+) 0 xs
                             sumList :: [Int] -> Int
```

Look at the right hand side. If we omit the third parameter, we will have:

specification and the content! So we will write: This is precisely what we want for sumList, matching both the type

FP Lecture 4

#### Currying

So you can give one parameter at a time and get intermediate functions:

- myadd 1 :: Int -> Int -> Int
- function that takes two numbers and add them to 1
- myadd 1 2 :: Int -> Int

a function that takes a number and add it to  $1+2\,$ 

myadd 1 2 3 :: Int

finally the number 6

This ability is called currying

### Composition

Recall that we had a function that sums up the areas of a list of shapes. It can now be written as:

```
areaList xs = sumList (map area xs)
```

and pass it to another function g (sumList). This is function composition There is an operator for this: This is saying: pass  ${ t xs}$  to a function f (map  ${ t area}$ ), then take the result

(.) :: 
$$(b->c) -> (a->b) -> (a->c)$$
  
(g.f)  $x = g$  (f x)

So g.f is a function that, when you give it a parameter x, it will compute f(x), and then use it to compute g(f(x))

FP Lecture 4

### Composition: Example

Look at areaList again:

areaList xs = sumList (map area xs)

Using composition, we can rewrite it as

areaList xs = (sumList . map area) xs

But then we can apply currying:

areaList = sumList . map area

### **Anonymous Functions**

Here is how. A function that squares its parameter:

So to square a list of numbers,

map (
$$n \rightarrow n*n$$
) [1,2,3]

More parameters can be accomodated too, e.g.,

$$\xyz -> x+y+z$$

This is a shorthand for

$$\xspace x -> \yspace y -> \xspace x -> x + y + z$$

# **Anonymous Functions: Motivation**

There are times when we want to write a function without giving it a name.

$$square n = n*n$$

is silly if all we want is just:

Even this:

let square 
$$n = n*n$$
 in map square  $[1,2,3]$ 

is too tedious. We would like to write functions without giving them names.

10

### Sections

constant argument and using the following syntax: Binary operators can be turned into unary functions by giving them a

+) means 
$$\langle x -> 1+x \rangle$$

(1+) means 
$$x -> 1+x$$
  
(+1) means  $x -> x+1$ 

E.g., a function that increments very number in a list:

A function that tests if all numbers in a list are negative:

The library has a function to do the foldl (&&) True part:

11