### Overview

ML experiences **distribution shifts** from train (source) and test (target)

**Goal:** learn representations Z of data X from which source predictors perform well on target

### **Previous work:**

- $\odot$  lack of theoretical characterization of optimal  $Z^*$
- ③ no practical methods uniformly outperform ERM [2]

### Our work:

- $\odot$  prove minimal sufficient condition for optimal  $Z^*$
- $\bigcirc$  derive practical **SSL** objectives for learning  $Z^*$
- ③ show why CLIP [3] is so robust
- **SOTA** results on DomainBed!

### Characterizing Optimally Robust Representations

**Optimal**  $Z^*$ : all source  $(d_s)$  optimal predictors achieve target  $(d_t)$  Bayes risk **Goal:** minimize the *idealized domain generalization* (IDG) risk w.r.t. Z

 $\operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{IDG}}\left[Y \mid Z\right] := 1$ 

17-5	
$\mathbb{E}_{p_{D_{s},D_{t}}}$	sup
	$h {\in} \mathcal{H}_{Ds}^*$
random	

domains worst source risk. min.

### Theorem (Optimal coniditions)



S requires access to labeled target domain





source

(ii) (ii)

target





# **Optimal Representations for Covariate Shift**

Yangjun Ruan<sup>\* 12</sup> Yann Dubois<sup>\* 2</sup> Chris J. Maddison <sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Toronto <sup>2</sup>Vector Institute \*Equal contribution

## No Free Lunch Without Target Information

### Theorem (No free lunch)

Without accessing to target you cannot learn useful Z. You can construct many "bad" target domains where any Z will be worse than a constant C.

③ explain the failure of current practical methods ③ is getting access to targets realistic?

### Learning Optimal Representations with SSL

**Key idea:** exploit large unlabeled data with self-supervised learning (SSL)

**Proposition (Learning**  $Z^*$  in practice)

One can learn optimal  $Z^*$  with **SSL** using:

- large-scale unlabeled data
- contrastive learning with **domain-agnostic** augmentations
- domain bottlenecks

### **Domain-agnostic** augmentations

- Require: uncorrelated with domain
- Example: image-text aug. (e.g., CLIP [3])
- X Counterexample: standard image aug. (e.g., SimCLR [1])



(a) image-text augmentations

③ explain the incredible robustness of CLIP over other SSL models

# **Domain bottleneck:** enforce **support invariance**

- $\Box$  Contrastive adversarial domain (CAD) bottleneck I[Z; D] ③ Requires **no trainable** domain classifier
- $\Box$  Entropy (Ent) bottleneck H[Z]
- ③ Requires no access to domain information

(b) standard augmentations

# **Exploiting Pretrained CLIP for Robust Representations**

### **Motivation:** CLIP was trained

### Idea:

### Algorithm

ERM DomainBed SOTA  $\mathsf{DINO} + \mathsf{CAD}$ CLIP CLIP + CAD

**SOTA** result with **domain-agnostic** aug. and **bottlenecks**!

### Towards Generic Robust Representations with SSL

### **Evaluate:** natural distribution shift [5]

	IN	IN-V2	IN-S	YT-BB	IN-Vid	ObjNet	IN-A	IN-R	Avg.
Pretrained	75.2	64.2	41.0	58.4	71.6	42.8	27.5	62.9	52.6
Tuned w/o Ent	73.8	62.1	37.0	56.9	68.8	41.3	26.0	58.1	50.0
Tuned w/ Ent	74.2	62.7	38.9	58.1	70.1	42.1	26.2	60.8	51.3

### References

- arXiv:2111.02114, 2021.
- *arXiv:2007.00644*, 2020.



with 400M image-text augmentations **X** without explicit domain bottlenecks

• Finetune CLIP with bottlenecks on available data • Evaluate with linear probe on DomainBed [2]

	VLCS	PACS	OfficeHome	DomainNet
	$77.6\pm0.3$	$86.7\pm0.3$	$66.4\pm0.5$	$41.3\pm0.1$
<b>L</b>	$79.9\pm0.2$	$87.2\pm0.1$	$68.4\pm0.2$	$41.8\pm0.1$
	$69.6\pm0.6$	$76.1\pm0.1$	$56.9\pm0.5$	$33.6\pm0.1$
	$80.7\pm0.4$	$93.7\pm0.8$	$79.9\pm0.1$	$52.8\pm0.1$
	$\textbf{81.4} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{94.7}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{80.2}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	$54.1\pm0.1$

Idea: learn task- and domain-agnostic robust representations

• Task-agnostic: use large-scale data [4] with image-text contrastive loss • Domain-agnostic: finetune CLIP with Ent bottleneck

**Consistently improved** robustness with bottlenecks! ③ Gains could be larger if **end-to-end** trained with bottlenecks!

[1] T. Chen et al. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *ICML*, 2020. [2] I. Gulrajani and D. Lopez-Paz. In search of lost domain generalization. In ICLR, 2021.

[3] A. Radford et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, 2021.

[4] C. Schuhmann et al. Laion-400m: Open dataset of clip-filtered 400 million image-text pairs. *arXiv preprint* 

[5] R. Taori et al. Measuring robustness to natural distribution shifts in image classification. *arXiv preprint*