

228 (segment sum count)

- (a) Write a program to find, in a given list of naturals, the number of segments (sublists of consecutive items) whose sum is a given natural.
- (b) Write a program to find, in a given list of positive naturals, the number of segments whose sum is a given natural.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

(a) Write a program to find, in a given list of naturals, the number of segments whose sum is a given natural.

§ Let L be the given list, and n be the given natural. The first problem is to say formally “the number of segments in L whose sum is n ”. Instead of “segments”, we can say “the number of naturals a and b such that $0 \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge \Sigma L [a;..b] = n$ ”. The quantifier § turns a predicate into a bunch, and then ϕ tells the size of the bunch, but unfortunately § works on only one variable, not two. Still, we can sum up the sizes. Formally,

$$\Sigma a. \phi \S b. 0 \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n$$

But that's ugly. To get a neater, more workable expression, add axioms $\top=1$ and $\perp=0$ equating binary values and numbers. Now the number of segments is

$$\Sigma a, b. 0 \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n$$

Suppose the items of L are all 0, and $n=0$. Then there are $(\#L+1) \times (\#L+2)/2$ segments with the right sum, so the best solution is probably quadratic. Let i, j, s , and c be natural variables. The desired result of the computation is R , defined as

$$R = c' = \Sigma a, b. 0 \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n$$

I will need two more similar specifications A and B , defined as

$$A = c' = c + \Sigma a, b. 0 \leq i \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n$$

$$B = i'=i \wedge c' = c + \Sigma b. 0 \leq j \leq b \leq \#L \wedge s + (\Sigma L [j;..b]) = n$$

Now the refinements are

$$R \Leftarrow i:=0. c:=0. A$$

$$A \Leftarrow j:=i. s:=0. B. \text{ if } i=\#L \text{ then ok else } i:=i+1. A \text{ fi}$$

$$B \Leftarrow \text{ if } s=n \text{ then } c:=c+1 \text{ else ok fi.}$$

$$\text{ if } j=\#L \vee s>n \text{ then ok else } s:=s+Lj. j:=j+1. B \text{ fi}$$

We prove the refinement of R by two substitutions. The refinement of A can be proven by cases. First:

$$\begin{aligned} & j:=i. s:=0. B. i=\#L \wedge ok && \text{substitutions in } B \\ = & i'=i \wedge c' = c + \Sigma b. 0 \leq i \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [i;..b]) = n. i=\#L \wedge ok && \\ & && \text{remove sequential composition} \\ = & i'=i=\#L \wedge c' = c + \Sigma b. 0 \leq i \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [i;..b]) = n && \\ & && \text{Since } i=\#L, \text{ the sum is just the single value when } i=b=\#L. \\ & && \text{So it doesn't change anything to put an } a \text{ in there, } i=a=b=\#L. \\ = & i'=i=\#L \wedge c' = c + \Sigma a, b. 0 \leq i \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n && \\ \Rightarrow & A && \end{aligned}$$

The other case is

$$\begin{aligned} & j:=i. s:=0. B. i \neq \#L \wedge (i:=i+1. A) && \text{substitutions into } B \text{ and } A \\ = & i'=i \wedge c' = c + \Sigma b. 0 \leq i \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [i;..b]) = n. && \\ & c' = c + \Sigma a, b. 0 \leq i+1 \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n && \\ & && \text{remove sequential composition} \\ = & c' = c + \Sigma b. 0 \leq i \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [i;..b]) = n && \\ & + \Sigma a, b. 0 \leq i+1 \leq a \leq b \leq \#L \wedge (\Sigma L [a;..b]) = n && \\ & && \text{The first sum looks at all segments starting at } i. \\ & && \text{The second sum looks at all segments starting at or after } i+1. \\ & && \text{Together, they look at all segments starting at or after } i. \\ \Rightarrow & A && \end{aligned}$$

The refinement of B can be broken into various cases.

$$B \Leftarrow s=n \wedge j=\#L \wedge (c:=c+1)$$

$$B \Leftarrow s=n \wedge j \neq \#L \wedge (c:=c+1. s:=s+Lj. j:=j+1. B)$$

$$B \Leftarrow s>n \wedge ok$$

$$B \Leftarrow s<n \wedge j=\#L \wedge ok$$

$$B \Leftarrow s<n \wedge j \neq \#L \wedge (s:=s+Lj. j:=j+1. B)$$

All five are very easy, so I leave them here. The disjunct $s > n$ is not necessary for correctness. Without it, execution time is exactly $\#L \times (\#L + 1) / 2$. With it, that's an upper bound. So for time,

replace R with $t' \leq t + \#L \times (\#L + 1) / 2$

replace A with $i \leq \#L \Rightarrow t' \leq t + (\#L - i) \times (\#L - i + 1) / 2 \wedge i' \leq \#L$

replace B with $j \leq \#L \Rightarrow t' \leq t + \#L - j \wedge j' \leq \#L \wedge i' = i$

Again, easy.

- (b) Write a program to find, in a given list of positive naturals, the number of segments whose sum is a given natural.
no solution given