

240 (minimum difference) Given two nonempty sorted lists of numbers, write a program to find a pair of items, one from each list, whose absolute difference is smallest.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§ Let the lists be L and M , and indicate the two items by the final values of index variables $l: 0..#L$ and $m: 0..#M$. The specification is R , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \text{find the minimum difference items in nonempty lists } L \text{ and } M \\ &= \text{abs}(L' - M m') = \Downarrow i: 0..#L \cdot \Downarrow j: 0..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ &= \forall i: 0..#L \cdot \forall j: 0..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \end{aligned}$$

We also need natural variables p and q as indexes. Here are the other specifications.

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \text{find the minimum difference items in} \\ &\quad \text{nonempty lists } L[p;..#L] \text{ and } M[q;..#M] \\ &= p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \\ &\Rightarrow \text{abs}(L' - M m') = \Downarrow i: p..#L \cdot \Downarrow j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ &= p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \\ &\Rightarrow \forall i: p..#L \cdot \forall j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ P &= p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \leq \text{abs}(L p - M q) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p..#L \cdot \forall j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ N &= p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \\ &\Rightarrow \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p..#L \cdot \forall j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \end{aligned}$$

Now we refine as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} R &\Leftarrow p := 0. q := 0. Q \\ Q &\Leftarrow l := p. m := q. d := \text{abs}(L l - M m). P \\ P &\Leftarrow \text{if } L p < M q \text{ then } p := p + 1. \text{ if } p = \#L \text{ then } \text{ok} \text{ else } N \text{ fi} \\ &\quad \text{else if } L p > M q \text{ then } q := q + 1. \text{ if } q = \#M \text{ then } \text{ok} \text{ else } N \text{ fi} \\ &\quad \text{else } l := p. m := q \text{ fi fi} \\ N &\Leftarrow \text{if } \text{abs}(L p - M q) < d \text{ then } Q \text{ else } P \text{ fi} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of R refinement, starting with the right side:

$$\begin{aligned} &p := 0. q := 0. Q \quad \text{expand } Q \text{ and use substitution law twice} \\ &= R \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Q refinement, starting with the right side:

$$\begin{aligned} &l := p. m := q. d := \text{abs}(L l - M m). P \text{ expand } P \text{ and use substitution law 3 times} \\ &= p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L p - M q) = \text{abs}(L p - M q) \leq \text{abs}(L p - M q) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L p - M q) \\ &\quad \wedge \forall i: p..#L \cdot \forall j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ &\quad \text{simplify antecedent; one conjunct of the consequent} \\ &\quad \text{is a special case of the universal quantification} \\ &= p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \Rightarrow \forall i: p..#L \cdot \forall j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ &= Q \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the P refinement can be broken into five cases.

- (a) $P \Leftarrow L p < M q \wedge (p := p + 1. p = \#L \wedge \text{ok})$
- (b) $P \Leftarrow L p < M q \wedge (p := p + 1. p \neq \#L \wedge N)$
- (c) $P \Leftarrow L p > M q \wedge (q := q + 1. q = \#M \wedge \text{ok})$
- (d) $P \Leftarrow L p > M q \wedge (q := q + 1. q \neq \#M \wedge N)$
- (e) $P \Leftarrow L p = M q \wedge (l := p. m := q)$

Here is the proof of case (a):

$$\begin{aligned} &(P \Leftarrow L p < M q \wedge (p := p + 1. p = \#L \wedge \text{ok})) \quad \text{expand } \text{ok} \text{ and substitution law;} \\ &\quad \text{turn the main implication around; expand } P; \text{ portation} \\ &= L p < M q \wedge p + 1 = \#L \wedge l' = l \wedge m' = m \wedge p' = p + 1 \wedge q' = q \\ &\quad \wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \leq \text{abs}(L p - M q) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p..#L \cdot \forall j: q..#M \cdot \text{abs}(L' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \\ &\quad \text{pick off the easy parts, and note that } \forall i: p..#L \text{ has only one domain} \end{aligned}$$

value

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftarrow L(\#L - 1) < M q \wedge q < \#M \wedge d \leq \text{abs}(L(\#L - 1) - M q) \\ &\Rightarrow \forall j: q..#M \cdot d \leq \text{abs}(L(\#L - 1) - M j) \\ &\quad \text{Since } L(\#L - 1) < M q, \text{ therefore } L(\#L - 1) - M q \text{ is negative, so for all } j \geq q, \end{aligned}$$

$L(\#L-1) - M j$ is at least as negative (remember that M is sorted), so
 $abs(L(\#L-1) - M q) \leq abs(L(\#L-1) - M j)$, so by a connection law

= \top

Here is the proof of case (b):

$(P \Leftarrow L p < M q \wedge (p := p+1. p \neq \#L \wedge N))$ expand N and substitution law;
turn the main implication around; expand P ; portation

= $L p < M q \wedge p+1 \neq \#L$
 $\wedge (p+1 < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge abs(L l - M m) = d$
 $\Rightarrow abs(L l' - M m') \leq d$
 $\wedge \forall i: p+1, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j)$
 $\wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge abs(L l - M m) = d \leq abs(L p - M q)$
 $\Rightarrow abs(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j)$
discharge

= $L p < M q \wedge p+1 < \#L \wedge q < \#M$
 $\wedge abs(L l' - M m') \leq d$
 $\wedge (\forall i: p+1, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j))$
 $\wedge abs(L l - M m) = d \leq abs(L p - M q)$
 $\Rightarrow abs(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j)$
In the antecedent, we have the first conjunct of the consequent.

We also have the second conjunct except only for $i=p$.

$\Leftarrow L p < M q \wedge p+1 < \#L \wedge q < \#M$
 $\wedge abs(L l' - M m') \leq d$
 $\wedge (\forall i: p+1, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j))$
 $\wedge abs(L l - M m) = d \leq abs(L p - M q)$
 $\Rightarrow \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L p - M j)$
From the antecedent, $abs(L l' - M m') \leq d$, and $d \leq abs(L p - M q)$, so
 $abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L p - M q)$. Also, $L p < M q$, and M is sorted,
so if $q \leq j$, then $abs(L p - M q) \leq abs(L p - M j)$.
And so $abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L p - M j)$.

= \top

The proof of case (c) is just like the proof of case (a).

The proof of case (d) is just like the proof of case (b).

Here is the proof of case (e):

$(P \Leftarrow L p = M q \wedge (l := p. m := q))$ expand $m := q$ and substitution law;
turn the main implication around; expand P ; portation

= $L p = M q \wedge l' = p \wedge m' = q \wedge p' = p \wedge q' = q$
 $\wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge abs(L l - M m) = d \leq abs(L p - M q)$
 $\Rightarrow abs(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j)$
from the antecedent, $abs(L l' - M m') = 0$

= \top

Proof of N refinement, by cases, starting with the first case:

$(N \Leftarrow abs(L p - M q) < d \wedge Q)$
turn the main implication around; expand N and Q ; portation

= $abs(L p - M q) < d$
 $\wedge (p < \#L \wedge q < \#M$
 $\Rightarrow \forall i: p, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j)$
 $\wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge abs(L l - M m) = d$
 $\Rightarrow abs(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j)$
discharge

=

$abs(L p - M q) < d$
 $\wedge (\forall i: p, .. \#L. \forall j: q, .. \#M. abs(L l' - M m') \leq abs(L i - M j))$
 $\wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge abs(L l - M m) = d$

$$\Rightarrow \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, \dots \#L \cdot \forall j: q, \dots \#M \cdot \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j)$$

The second conjunct of the antecedent is also the second conjunct of the consequent. So that leaves

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftarrow & \quad \text{abs}(L p - M q) < d \\ & \wedge (\forall i: p, \dots \#L \cdot \forall j: q, \dots \#M \cdot \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j)) \\ & \wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \end{aligned}$$

Specialize the quantification, and drop some other parts of the antecedent

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftarrow & \quad \text{abs}(L p - M q) < d \\ & \wedge \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L p - M q) \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \quad \text{transitivity} \\ = & \quad \top \end{aligned}$$

Proof of N refinement, last case:

$$(N \Leftarrow \text{abs}(L p - M q) \geq d \wedge P)$$

turn the main implication around; expand N and P ; portation

$$\begin{aligned} = & \quad \text{abs}(L p - M q) \geq d \\ & \wedge (p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \leq \text{abs}(L p - M q) \\ & \quad \Rightarrow \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \\ & \quad \wedge \forall i: p, \dots \#L \cdot \forall j: q, \dots \#M \cdot \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j)) \\ & \wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, \dots \#L \cdot \forall j: q, \dots \#M \cdot \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \end{aligned}$$

discharge

$$\begin{aligned} = & \quad \text{abs}(L p - M q) \geq d \\ & \wedge \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \\ & \wedge (\forall i: p, \dots \#L \cdot \forall j: q, \dots \#M \cdot \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j)) \\ & \wedge p < \#L \wedge q < \#M \wedge \text{abs}(L l - M m) = d \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq d \wedge \forall i: p, \dots \#L \cdot \forall j: q, \dots \#M \cdot \text{abs}(L l' - M m') \leq \text{abs}(L i - M j) \end{aligned}$$

specialization

$$= \quad \top$$

For timing, we replace R by $t' \leq t + \#L + \#M$ and the other three by $t' \leq t + \#L - p + \#M - q$.