- 332 The specification wait w where w is a length of time, not an instant of time, describes a delay in execution of time w. Formalize and implement it using
- (a) the recursive time measure.
- (b) the real time measure (assume any positive operation times you need).

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

If t is an extended natural variable and w is an extended natural expression, then define (a)§ wait $w \equiv t = t + w$

and refine it this way:

wait $w \leftarrow \text{frame } t \cdot \text{new } c : xnat := w \cdot t' = t + c$

 $t' = t+c \iff \mathbf{if} \ c=0 \ \mathbf{then} \ ok \ \mathbf{else} \ c:= c-1, \ t:= t+1, \ t' = t+c \ \mathbf{fi}$

Proof of first refinement:

frame *t* · **new** *c*: *xnat* := $w \cdot t' = t + c$ **frame** $t \cdot \exists c: w \cdot \exists c': xnat \cdot t' = t+c$ c' is unused; \exists law == **frame** $t \cdot t' = t + w$ frame law = t := t + w_ wait w Proof of last refinement, first case, assuming the nonlocal variables are x: $c=0 \land ok$ expand *ok* = $c=0 \land x'=x \land c'=c \land t'=t$ context and specialization $\implies t' = t + c$ Proof of last refinement, last case: $c > 0 \land (c := c - 1. t := t + 1. t' = t + c)$ substitution law twice; specialization

```
\implies t' = t + c
```

frame law

(b)§ This time t is a nonnegative extended real variable and w is a nonnegative extended real expression. The solution can be like part (a), but in the real time measure, we have to account for the time to make the test (which was c=0 in part (a)) and to make a conditional branch, and the time for the assignment (which was c := c-1 in part (a)), and the time for the recursive call. I'll use time 1 for all three. As in part (a), we can introduce a counter c initialized to w and count down. But w here is real, not necessarily an integer, so either the test must be $c \le 0$, or the initial value of c must be rounded up. I'll do the latter. Define

wait $w \equiv t = t + 3 \times (ceil w) + 1$ and refine it this way:

wait $w \leftarrow \text{frame } t \cdot \text{new } c : xnat := ceil \ w \cdot \ t' = t + 3 \times c + 1$

 $t' = t + 3 \times c + 1 \iff$

t:=t+1. if c=0 then ok else t:=t+1. c:=c-1. t:=t+1. $t'=t+3\times c+1$ fi Proof of first refinement:

frame *t*· **new** *c*: *xnat* := *ceil w*· $t' = t + 3 \times c + 1$

- c' is unused; \exists law = **frame** *t* $\exists c$: *ceil w* $\exists c'$: *xnat* $t' = t + 3 \times c + 1$
- = **frame** t: $t' = t + 3 \times (ceil w) + 1$
- = $t := t + 3 \times (ceil w) + 1$

```
=
wait w
```

Proof of last refinement, assuming the nonlocal variables are x:

t := t+1. if c=0 then ok else t := t+1. c := c-1. t := t+1. $t' = t+3 \times c + 1$ fi

substitution law 3 times = t := t+1. if c=0 then ok else $t' = t + 3 \times c$ fi expand ok _ t = t+1. if c=0 then $c'=c \land x'=x \land t'=t$ else $t' = t + 3 \times c$ fi substitution law = if c=0 then $c'=c \land x'=x \land t'=t+1$ else $t'=t+3 \times c+1$ fi use context c=0= **if** c=0 **then** $c'=c \land x'=x \land t' = t + 3 \times c + 1$ **else** $t' = t + 3 \times c + 1$ **fi** specialize $\implies t' = t + 3 \times c + 1$