

- 97 Express formally that
- (a) natural n is the largest proper (neither 1 nor m) factor of natural m .
 - (b) g is the greatest common divisor of naturals a and b .
 - (c) m is the lowest common multiple of naturals a and b .
 - (d) p is a prime number.
 - (e) n and m are relatively prime numbers.
 - (f) there is at least one and at most a finite number of naturals satisfying predicate p .
 - (g) there is no smallest integer.
 - (h) between every two rational numbers there is another rational number.
 - (i) list L is a longest segment of list M that does not contain item x .
 - (j) the segment of list L from (including) index i to (excluding) index j is a segment whose sum is smallest.
 - (k) a and b are items of lists A and B (respectively) whose absolute difference is least.
 - (l) p is the length of a longest plateau (segment of equal items) in a nonempty sorted list L .
 - (m) all items that occur in list L occur in a segment of length 10.
 - (n) all items of list L are different (no two items are equal).
 - (o) at most one item in list L occurs more than once.
 - (p) the maximum item in list L occurs m times.
 - (q) list L is a permutation of list M .

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§ This exercise illustrates the need for formalization. Even carefully worded informal specifications can be misunderstood.

(a) natural n is the largest proper (neither 1 nor m) factor of natural m .

§ Define predicate p so that $p\ x$ means that x is a proper factor of m .

$$p = \langle x: \text{nat} \cdot m: x \times \text{nat} \wedge x \neq 1 \wedge x \neq m \rangle$$

Then the expression we want is

$$p\ n \wedge \forall x: \text{nat} \cdot p\ x \Rightarrow x \leq n$$

or equivalently

$$n = \uparrow x: (\$p) \cdot x$$

(b) g is the greatest common divisor of naturals a and b .

§ $a, b: g \times \text{nat} \wedge \neg \exists h: \text{nat} \cdot a, b: h \times \text{nat} \wedge h > g$

(c) m is the lowest common multiple of naturals a and b .

§ Is 0 a multiple of a and b ? If so, the answer is $m=0$. If not, then

$$m: (\text{nat}+1) \times a \wedge (\text{nat}+1) \times b \wedge \forall z: (\text{nat}+1) \times a \wedge (\text{nat}+1) \times b \cdot m \leq z$$

or $m = \downarrow m: (\text{nat}+1) \times a \wedge (\text{nat}+1) \times b \cdot m$

(d) p is a prime number.

§ Here are some possible answers.

$$\forall n: \text{nat} \cdot p: n \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow n=1 \vee n=p \quad \text{says } 0 \text{ isn't prime and } 1 \text{ is}$$

$$\forall n: 0, \dots, p \cdot p: n \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow n=1 \quad \text{says } 0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ are prime}$$

$$\not\exists n: 0, \dots, p \cdot p: n \times \text{nat} = 1 \quad \text{says } 0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ aren't prime}$$

$$\not\exists n: \text{nat} \cdot p: n \times \text{nat} = 2 \quad \text{says } 0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ aren't prime}$$

$$p: \text{nat}+2 \wedge \neg(p: (\text{nat}+2) \times (\text{nat}+2)) \quad \text{says } 0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ aren't prime}$$

These answers agree on whether numbers in $\text{nat}+2$ are prime, but they disagree on 0 and 1. Most mathematicians want to exclude 1, and they haven't thought about 0.

(e) n and m are relatively prime numbers.

§ $\forall f: \text{nat} \cdot n, m: f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow f=1$

or $\not\exists f: \text{nat} \cdot n, m: f \times \text{nat} = 1$

(f) there is at least one and at most a finite number of naturals satisfying predicate p .

§ $1 \leq \#(\$n: \text{nat} \cdot p\ n) < \infty$

and if $\Box p = \text{nat}$,

$$1 \leq \#(\$p) < \infty$$

(g) there is no smallest integer.

§ $\neg \exists i: \text{int} \cdot \forall j: \text{int} \cdot i \leq j$

OR $\forall i: \text{int} \cdot \exists j: \text{int} \cdot j < i$

(h) between every two rational numbers there is another rational number.

§ $\forall x, z: \text{rat} \cdot x < z \Rightarrow \exists y: \text{rat} \cdot x < y < z$

One could argue that it should be

$$\forall x, z: \text{rat} \cdot \exists y: \text{rat} \cdot x < y < z \vee z < y < x$$

because it doesn't say "between every two different rational numbers", or one could argue that "two rational numbers" means "two different rational numbers".

(i) list L is a longest segment of list M that does not contain item x .

§ Let the type of item be T . Define

$$P = \langle A: [*T] \cdot \exists i, j: 0, \dots, \#M+1 \cdot i \leq j \wedge A = M[i:..j] \wedge \neg x: M(i:..j) \rangle$$

so that PA means “ A is a segment of M that does not contain item x ”. Now the answer is

$$PL \wedge \neg \exists A: [*T] \cdot PA \wedge \#A > \#L$$

- (j) the segment of list L from (including) index i up to (excluding) index j is a segment whose sum is smallest.

$$\S \quad 0 \leq i \leq j \leq \#L \wedge \forall x: 0, \dots, \#L+1 \cdot \forall y: x, \dots, \#L+1 \cdot (\Sigma L[i;..j]) \leq (\Sigma L[x;..y])$$

- (k) a and b are items of lists A and B (respectively) whose absolute difference is least.

$$\S \quad a: A(\square A) \wedge b: B(\square B) \\ \wedge \neg (\exists c: A(\square A) \cdot \exists d: B(\square B) \cdot \text{abs}(c-d) < \text{abs}(a-b)) \\ \text{or} \quad (\exists i: \square A \cdot \exists j: \square B \cdot A i = a \wedge B j = b) \\ \wedge \neg (\exists i: \square A \cdot \exists j: \square B \cdot \text{abs}(A i - B j) < \text{abs}(a-b))$$

- (l) p is the length of a longest plateau (segment of equal items) in a non-empty sorted list L .

\S Define

$$P = \langle p: 1, \dots, \#L+1 \cdot \exists i: 0, \dots, \#L+1-p \cdot L i = L(i+p-1) \rangle$$

so that Pp says that p is the length of a plateau in a non-empty sorted list L . Now the answer is $Pp \wedge \neg P(p+1)$.

- (m) all items that occur in list L occur in a segment of length 10.

\S It doesn't say the segment must be in list L , but surely that is intended. Must an item occur as many times in the segment as in the list? Probably not. Can it be a different segment for each item? If so, it's trivially true for any list of length at least 10. It must mean that in list L there is a segment of length 10 containing all items that occur anywhere in list L .

$$\#L \geq 10 \wedge \exists i: 0, \dots, \#L-9 \cdot \forall j: 0, \dots, \#L \cdot \exists k: i, \dots, i+10 \cdot L j = L k$$

$$\text{or} \quad \#L \geq 10 \wedge \exists i: 0, \dots, \#L-9 \cdot L(0, \dots, \#L): L(i, \dots, i+10)$$

- (n) all items of list L are different (no two items are equal).

$$\S \quad \neg \exists i, j: \square L \cdot i \neq j \wedge L i = L j$$

$$\text{or} \quad \phi L(0, \dots, \#L) = \#L$$

- (o) at most one item in list L occurs more than once.

$$\S \quad \neg \exists i, j, k, l: \square L \cdot i \neq j \wedge k \neq l \wedge L i = L j \neq L k = L l$$

- (p) the maximum item in list L occurs m times.

\S If the question means exactly m times, then the answer is

$$\phi \S i: \square L \cdot L i = \uparrow L = m$$

If it means at least m times, then the answer is

$$(\phi \S i: \square L \cdot L i = \uparrow L) \geq m$$

- (q) list L is a permutation of list M .

$$\S \quad \forall x \cdot (\phi \S i: \square L \cdot L i = x) = (\phi \S i: \square M \cdot M i = x)$$