

X4.2 Let P and Q be specifications, let I be an assertion with all nonlocal variables unprimed, and let I' be the same as I but with primes on all nonlocal variables. Prove

(a) $I \Rightarrow I' . I \Rightarrow I' \implies I \Rightarrow I'$

(b) If I is invariant for P , and I is invariant for Q , then I is invariant for $(P.Q)$.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

$$(a) \quad I \Rightarrow I'. I \Rightarrow I' \Rightarrow I \Rightarrow I'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \S \quad & I \Rightarrow I'. I \Rightarrow I' && \text{rewrite with explicit arguments} \\
 = & I \sigma \Rightarrow I \sigma' . I \sigma \Rightarrow I \sigma' && \text{sequential composition} \\
 = & \exists \sigma'' . (I \sigma \Rightarrow I \sigma'') \wedge (I \sigma'' \Rightarrow I \sigma') && \text{transitivity} \\
 \Rightarrow & \exists \sigma'' . I \sigma \Rightarrow I \sigma' && \text{unused quantifier} \\
 = & I \sigma \Rightarrow I \sigma' && \text{rewrite with implicit arguments} \\
 = & I \Rightarrow I'
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) If I is invariant for P , and I is invariant for Q , then I is invariant for $(P.Q)$.

§ We are given I is invariant for P , which means $(I \Rightarrow I') \Leftarrow P$ is a theorem.
 We are given I is invariant for Q , which means $(I \Rightarrow I') \Leftarrow Q$ is a theorem.
 We want to prove I is invariant for $(P.Q)$, which means $(I \Rightarrow I') \Leftarrow (P.Q)$ is a theorem.
 One of the Refinement by Steps laws says: If $A \Leftarrow B.C$ and $B \Leftarrow D$ and $C \Leftarrow E$ are theorems, then $A \Leftarrow D.E$ is a theorem. Let A , B , and C all be $I \Rightarrow I'$; let D be P ; let E be Q . Then we have $(A \Leftarrow B.C)$ by part (a). We have $(B \Leftarrow D)$ because I is invariant for P . And we have $(C \Leftarrow E)$ because I is invariant for Q . So by Refinement by Steps, we have $(A \Leftarrow D.E)$, which says I is invariant for $(P.Q)$.